1 2 3 4 5	Robert V. Prongay (SBN 270796) rprongay@glancylaw.com Charles Linehan (SBN 307439) clinehan@glancylaw.com Pavithra Rajesh (SBN 323055) prajesh@glancylaw.com GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLI 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 201-9150 Facsimile: (310) 201-9160	P		
6 7	Facsimile: (310) 201-9160 Counsel for Plaintiff			
8	[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	COLLEEN KLEOVOULOS,	Case No.		
13	Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		
14	Plaintiff,	FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS		
15	v.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
16 17	SEMTECH CORPORATION, HONG Q. HOU, and MARK LIN,			
18	Defendants.			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	I .			

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Colleen Kleovoulos ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all

others similarly situated, by and through her attorneys, alleges the following upon

information and belief, except as to those allegations concerning Plaintiff, which are

alleged upon personal knowledge. Plaintiff's information and belief is based upon,

among other things, her counsel's investigation, which includes without limitation:

(a) review and analysis of regulatory filings made by Semtech Corporation

("Semtech" or the "Company") with the United States ("U.S.") Securities and

Exchange Commission ("SEC"); (b) review and analysis of press releases and media

reports issued by and disseminated by Semtech; and (c) review of other publicly

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NATURE OF THE ACTION AND OVERVIEW

available information concerning Semtech.

- 1. This is a class action on behalf of persons and entities that purchased or otherwise acquired Semtech securities between August 27, 2024 and February 7, 2025, inclusive (the "Class Period"). Plaintiff pursues claims against the Defendants under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act").
- 2. Semtech is a semiconductor, digital systems and cloud connectivity service provider. It designs, manufactures, and markets an array of products for commercial applications, the majority of which are sold into the infrastructure, highend consumer and industrial end markets. The Company's portfolio includes integrated circuits, modules, gateways, routers, and other specialized products. Its portfolio includes CopperEdge products, which can be used in active copper cables ("ACCs").
- 3. On February 7, 2025, after the market closed, Semtech revealed that CopperEdge sales would not "ramp-up over the course of fiscal year 2026." Based on "feedback from a server rack customer" and "discussions with end users of the server rack platform," the Company would implement certain "rack architecture changes." As a result, the Company expected CopperEdge sales to be "lower than the Company's previously disclosed floor case estimate of \$50 million."

- 4. On this news, Semtech's stock price fell \$16.91, or 31%, to close at \$37.60 per share on February 10, 2025, on unusually heavy trading volume.
- 5. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants made materially false and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose to investors: (1) that its CopperEdge products did not meet the needs of its server rack customer or end users; (2) that, as a result, the CopperEdge products required certain rack architecture changes; (3) that, as a result of the foregoing, the Company's sales of CopperEdge products would not ramp-up during fiscal 2026; (4) that, as a result, sales of CopperEdge products would be lower-than-expected; and (5) that, as a result of the foregoing, Defendants' positive statements about the Company's business, operations, and prospects were materially misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis.
- 6. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. The claims asserted herein arise under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC (17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5).
- 8. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa).
- 9. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa(c)). Substantial acts in furtherance of the alleged fraud or the effects of the fraud have occurred in this Judicial District. Many of the acts charged herein, including the dissemination of materially false and/or misleading information, occurred in substantial part in this

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Judicial District. In addition, the Company's principal executive offices are in this District.

10. In connection with the acts, transactions, and conduct alleged herein, Defendants directly and indirectly used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including the United States mail, interstate telephone communications, and the facilities of a national securities exchange.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Colleen Kleovoulos, as set forth in the accompanying 11. certification, incorporated by reference herein, purchased Semtech securities during the Class Period, and suffered damages as a result of the federal securities law violations and false and/or misleading statements and/or material omissions alleged herein.
- 12. Defendant Semtech is incorporated under the laws of Delaware with its principal executive offices located in Camarillo, California. Semtech's common stock trades on the NASDAQ exchange under the symbol "SMTC."
- Defendant Hong Q. Hou ("Hou") was the Company's Chief Executive 13. Officer ("CEO") at all relevant times.
- Defendant Mark Lin ("Lin") was the Company's Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") at all relevant times.
- Defendants Hou and Lin (collectively the "Individual Defendants"), because of their positions with the Company, possessed the power and authority to control the contents of the Company's reports to the SEC, press releases and presentations to securities analysts, money and portfolio managers and institutional investors, i.e., the market. The Individual Defendants were provided with copies of the Company's reports and press releases alleged herein to be misleading prior to, or shortly after, their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to prevent their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions and access to material non-public information available to them, the Individual Defendants knew

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

made were then materially false and/or misleading. The Individual Defendants are liable for the false statements pleaded herein.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

Background

that the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to, and were being

concealed from, the public, and that the positive representations which were being

16. Semtech is a semiconductor, digital systems and cloud connectivity service provider. It designs, manufactures, and markets an array of products for commercial applications, the majority of which are sold into the infrastructure, highend consumer and industrial end markets. The Company's portfolio includes integrated circuits, modules, gateways, routers, and other specialized products. Its portfolio includes CopperEdge products, which can be used in active copper cables, or ACCs.

Materially False and Misleading

Statements Issued During the Class Period

17. The Class Period begins on August 27, 2024. On that day, the Company issued a press release announcing its financial results for the quarter ended July 28, 2024. Specifically, the press release stated in relevant part:

Semtech Announces Second Quarter of Fiscal Year 2025 Results

- •Net sales of \$215.4 million, up 4% sequentially
- •GAAP gross margin of 49.0%, up 70 basis points sequentially and Non-GAAP gross margin of 50.4%, up 60 basis points sequentially
- •GAAP operating margin of 3.6%, up 210 basis points sequentially and Non-GAAP operating margin of 14.2%, up 200 basis points sequentially
- •GAAP diluted loss per share of \$2.61 and Non-GAAP diluted earnings per share of \$0.11
- •Adjusted EBITDA margin of 18.8%, up 270 basis points sequentially

¹ Unless otherwise stated, all emphasis in bold and italics hereinafter is added.

26

27

28

20. On the same day, the Company provided an investor presentation in connection with the conference call for its third quarter 2025 earnings release. The investor presentation reported the growth of the Company's infrastructure end market and "[e]xpect[ed] incrementally higher CopperEdge contribution in Q4'25, followed by a ramp progressing through FY26." Specifically, the investor presentation stated, in relevant part:

B QUARTERLY EARNINGS PRESENTATION | 103/25

Infrastructure End Market

Data Center, PON/FTTH, Wireless, Infrastructure Circuit Protection

- \$65.8m
- Q/Q Change +24% Y/Y Change +52%

- Record data center net sales of \$43.1m, up 58% Q/Q
- Shipments commenced on CopperEdge used in 1.6T active copper cable
- Expect incrementally higher CopperEdge contribution in Q4'25, followed by a ramp progressing through FY26
- Semtech's technical collaboration on CopperEdge with a number of cloud service providers and cable manufacturers has accelerated since last quarter
- Tri-Edge PAM4 products continue to contribute meaningful sequential and year-over-year growth
- Confidence in linear pluggable optics adoption has increased, with meaningful net sales contribution in the latter portion of FY26
- Telecom business supporting triple-gen 50G PON and 5G-Advanced deployments



21. The above statements identified in ¶¶ 17-20 were materially false and/or misleading, and failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose to investors: (1) that its CopperEdge products did not meet the needs of its server rack customer or end users; (2) that, as a result, the CopperEdge products required certain rack architecture changes; (3) that, as a result of the foregoing, the Company's sales of CopperEdge products would not ramp-up during fiscal 2026; (4) that, as a result, sales of CopperEdge products would be lower-than-expected; and (5) that, as a result of the foregoing, Defendants' positive statements about the Company's business,

1 operations, and prospects were materially misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis.

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

1213

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

25

26

27

28

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

24. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a class, consisting of all persons and

entities that purchased or otherwise acquired Semtech securities between August 27,

2024 and February 7, 2025, inclusive, and who were damaged thereby (the "Class").

Excluded from the Class are Defendants, the officers and directors of the Company,

at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal

22. On February 7, 2025, after the market closed, Semtech revealed that CopperEdge sales would not "ramp-up over the course of fiscal year 2026." Based on "feedback from a server rack customer" and "discussions with end users of the server rack platform," the Company would implement certain "rack architecture changes." As a result, the Company expected CopperEdge sales to be "lower than the Company's previously disclosed floor case estimate of \$50 million." Specifically, the Company filed a Form 8-K with the SEC which stated, in relevant part:

Semtech Corporation (the "Company") is providing the following updates:

- •For fiscal year 2026, net sales from its CopperEdgeTM products used in active copper cables are expected to be lower than the Company's previously disclosed floor case estimate of \$50 million due to rack architecture changes, with no expected ramp-up over the course of fiscal year 2026. The Company's revised estimates are based on recent feedback from a server rack customer and correlated to discussions with end users of the server rack platform.
- •For fiscal year 2026, net sales from its CopperEdge portfolio are expected to include multiple customers, end users and applications.
- •Based on continued collaboration on future generation server rack designs, its CopperEdge portfolio is expected to be included in these designs.
- 23. On this news, Semtech's stock price fell \$16.91, or 31%, to close at \$37.60 per share on February 10, 2025, on unusually heavy trading volume.

representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns, and any entity in which Defendants have

3

4

7 8

10 11

9

1213

15

16

17 18

1920

2122

24

25

23

26

- 25. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Semtech's shares actively traded on the NASDAQ. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are at least hundreds or thousands of members in the proposed Class. Millions of Semtech shares were traded publicly during the Class Period on the NASDAQ. Record owners and other members of the Class may be identified from records maintained by Semtech or its transfer agent and may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class actions.
- 26. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of federal law that is complained of herein.
- 27. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation.
- 28. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:
- (a) whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' acts as alleged herein;
- (b) whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the Class Period omitted and/or misrepresented material facts about the business, operations, and prospects of Semtech; and
- (c) to what extent the members of the Class have sustained damages and the proper measure of damages.

29. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

UNDISCLOSED ADVERSE FACTS

- 30. The market for Semtech's securities was open, well-developed and efficient at all relevant times. As a result of these materially false and/or misleading statements, and/or failures to disclose, Semtech's securities traded at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period. Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired Semtech's securities relying upon the integrity of the market price of the Company's securities and market information relating to Semtech, and have been damaged thereby.
- 31. During the Class Period, Defendants materially misled the investing public, thereby inflating the price of Semtech's securities, by publicly issuing false and/or misleading statements and/or omitting to disclose material facts necessary to make Defendants' statements, as set forth herein, not false and/or misleading. The statements and omissions were materially false and/or misleading because they failed to disclose material adverse information and/or misrepresented the truth about Semtech's business, operations, and prospects as alleged herein.
- 32. At all relevant times, the material misrepresentations and omissions particularized in this Complaint directly or proximately caused or were a substantial contributing cause of the damages sustained by Plaintiff and other members of the Class. As described herein, during the Class Period, Defendants made or caused to be made a series of materially false and/or misleading statements about Semtech's financial well-being and prospects. These material misstatements and/or omissions had the cause and effect of creating in the market an unrealistically positive

assessment of the Company and its financial well-being and prospects, thus causing the Company's securities to be overvalued and artificially inflated at all relevant times. Defendants' materially false and/or misleading statements during the Class Period resulted in Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchasing the Company's securities at artificially inflated prices, thus causing the damages complained of herein when the truth was revealed.

LOSS CAUSATION

- 33. Defendants' wrongful conduct, as alleged herein, directly and proximately caused the economic loss suffered by Plaintiff and the Class.
- 34. During the Class Period, Plaintiff and the Class purchased Semtech's securities at artificially inflated prices and were damaged thereby. The price of the Company's securities significantly declined when the misrepresentations made to the market, and/or the information alleged herein to have been concealed from the market, and/or the effects thereof, were revealed, causing investors' losses.

SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS

35. As alleged herein, Defendants acted with scienter since Defendants knew that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company were materially false and/or misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated or acquiesced in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the federal securities laws. As set forth elsewhere herein in detail, the Individual Defendants, by virtue of their receipt of information reflecting the true facts regarding Semtech, their control over, and/or receipt and/or modification of Semtech's allegedly materially misleading misstatements and/or their associations with the Company which made them privy to confidential proprietary information concerning Semtech, participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein.

APPLICABILITY OF PRESUMPTION OF RELIANCE (FRAUD-ON-THE-MARKET DOCTRINE)

- 36. The market for Semtech's securities was open, well-developed and efficient at all relevant times. As a result of the materially false and/or misleading statements and/or failures to disclose, Semtech's securities traded at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period. On January 21, 2025, the Company's share price closed at a Class Period high of \$77.15 per share. Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired the Company's securities relying upon the integrity of the market price of Semtech's securities and market information relating to Semtech, and have been damaged thereby.
- 37. During the Class Period, the artificial inflation of Semtech's shares was caused by the material misrepresentations and/or omissions particularized in this Complaint causing the damages sustained by Plaintiff and other members of the Class. As described herein, during the Class Period, Defendants made or caused to be made a series of materially false and/or misleading statements about Semtech's business, prospects, and operations. These material misstatements and/or omissions created an unrealistically positive assessment of Semtech and its business, operations, and prospects, thus causing the price of the Company's securities to be artificially inflated at all relevant times, and when disclosed, negatively affected the value of the Company shares. Defendants' materially false and/or misleading statements during the Class Period resulted in Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchasing the Company's securities at such artificially inflated prices, and each of them has been damaged as a result.
- 38. At all relevant times, the market for Semtech's securities was an efficient market for the following reasons, among others:
- (a) Semtech shares met the requirements for listing, and was listed and actively traded on the NASDAQ, a highly efficient and automated market;

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

12

13

11

14 15 16

18

17

19 20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

- As a regulated issuer, Semtech filed periodic public reports with (b) the SEC and/or the NASDAQ;
- (c) regularly communicated with public investors via established market communication mechanisms, including through regular dissemination of press releases on the national circuits of major newswire services and through other wide-ranging public disclosures, such as communications with the financial press and other similar reporting services; and/or
- (d) Semtech was followed by securities analysts employed by brokerage firms who wrote reports about the Company, and these reports were distributed to the sales force and certain customers of their respective brokerage firms. Each of these reports was publicly available and entered the public marketplace.
- As a result of the foregoing, the market for Semtech's securities 39. promptly digested current information regarding Semtech from all publicly available sources and reflected such information in Semtech's share price. Under these circumstances, all purchasers of Semtech's securities during the Class Period suffered similar injury through their purchase of Semtech's securities at artificially inflated prices and a presumption of reliance applies.
- 40. A Class-wide presumption of reliance is also appropriate in this action under the Supreme Court's holding in Affiliated Ute Citizens of Utah v. United States, 406 U.S. 128 (1972), because the Class's claims are, in large part, grounded on Defendants' material misstatements and/or omissions. Because this action involves Defendants' failure to disclose material adverse information regarding the Company's business operations and financial prospects—information that Defendants were obligated to disclose—positive proof of reliance is not a prerequisite to recovery. All that is necessary is that the facts withheld be material in the sense that a reasonable investor might have considered them important in making investment decisions. Given the importance of the Class Period material misstatements and omissions set forth above, that requirement is satisfied here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NO SAFE HARBOR

41. The statutory safe harbor provided for forward-looking statements under certain circumstances does not apply to any of the allegedly false statements pleaded in this Complaint. The statements alleged to be false and misleading herein all relate to then-existing facts and conditions. In addition, to the extent certain of the statements alleged to be false may be characterized as forward looking, they were not identified as "forward-looking statements" when made and there were no meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the purportedly forward-looking statements. In the alternative, to the extent that the statutory safe harbor is determined to apply to any forward-looking statements pleaded herein, Defendants are liable for those false forward-looking statements because at the time each of those forward-looking statements was made, the speaker had actual knowledge that the forward-looking statement was materially false or misleading, and/or the forward-looking statement was authorized or approved by an executive officer of Semtech who knew that the statement was false when made.

FIRST CLAIM

Violation of Section 10(b) of The Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder <u>Against All Defendants</u>

- 42. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. During the Class Period, Defendants carried out a plan, scheme and course of conduct which was intended to and, throughout the Class Period, did: (i) deceive the investing public, including Plaintiff and other Class members, as alleged herein; and (ii) cause Plaintiff and other members of the Class to purchase Semtech's securities at artificially inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan

and course of conduct, Defendants, and each defendant, took the actions set forth herein.

- 44. Defendants (i) employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (ii) made untrue statements of material fact and/or omitted to state material facts necessary to make the statements not misleading; and (iii) engaged in acts, practices, and a course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of the Company's securities in an effort to maintain artificially high market prices for Semtech's securities in violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5. All Defendants are sued either as primary participants in the wrongful and illegal conduct charged herein or as controlling persons as alleged below.
- 45. Defendants, individually and in concert, directly and indirectly, by the use, means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce and/or of the mails, engaged and participated in a continuous course of conduct to conceal adverse material information about Semtech's financial well-being and prospects, as specified herein.
- 46. Defendants employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud, while in possession of material adverse non-public information and engaged in acts, practices, and a course of conduct as alleged herein in an effort to assure investors of Semtech's value and performance and continued substantial growth, which included the making of, or the participation in the making of, untrue statements of material facts and/or omitting to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made about Semtech and its business operations and future prospects in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, as set forth more particularly herein, and engaged in transactions, practices and a course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of the Company's securities during the Class Period.
- 47. Each of the Individual Defendants' primary liability and controlling person liability arises from the following facts: (i) the Individual Defendants were high-level executives and/or directors at the Company during the Class Period and

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants had actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and/or omissions of material facts set forth herein, or acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed to ascertain and to disclose such facts, even though such facts were available to them. Such defendants' material misrepresentations and/or omissions were done knowingly or recklessly and for the purpose and effect of concealing Semtech's financial well-being and prospects from the investing public and supporting the artificially inflated price of its securities. As demonstrated by Defendants' overstatements and/or misstatements of the Company's business, operations, financial well-being, and prospects throughout the Class Period, Defendants, if they did not have actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and/or omissions alleged, were reckless in failing to obtain such knowledge by deliberately refraining from taking those steps necessary to discover whether those statements were false or misleading.

49. As a result of the dissemination of the materially false and/or misleading information and/or failure to disclose material facts, as set forth above, the market price of Semtech's securities was artificially inflated during the Class Period. In ignorance of the fact that market prices of the Company's securities were artificially

3 4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 inflated, and relying directly or indirectly on the false and misleading statements made by Defendants, or upon the integrity of the market in which the securities trades, and/or in the absence of material adverse information that was known to or recklessly disregarded by Defendants, but not disclosed in public statements by Defendants during the Class Period, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class acquired Semtech's securities during the Class Period at artificially high prices and were damaged thereby.

- 50. At the time of said misrepresentations and/or omissions, Plaintiff and other members of the Class were ignorant of their falsity, and believed them to be true. Had Plaintiff and the other members of the Class and the marketplace known the truth regarding the problems that Semtech was experiencing, which were not disclosed by Defendants, Plaintiff and other members of the Class would not have purchased or otherwise acquired their Semtech securities, or, if they had acquired such securities during the Class Period, they would not have done so at the artificially inflated prices which they paid.
- 51. By virtue of the foregoing, Defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, 52. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases and sales of the Company's securities during the Class Period.

SECOND CLAIM

Violation of Section 20(a) of The Exchange Act

Against the Individual Defendants

- Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained 53. above as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of Semtech within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged herein. By virtue of their

- high-level positions and their ownership and contractual rights, participation in, and/or awareness of the Company's operations and intimate knowledge of the false financial statements filed by the Company with the SEC and disseminated to the investing public, Individual Defendants had the power to influence and control and did influence and control, directly or indirectly, the decision-making of the Company, including the content and dissemination of the various statements which Plaintiff contends are false and misleading. Individual Defendants were provided with or had unlimited access to copies of the Company's reports, press releases, public filings, and other statements alleged by Plaintiff to be misleading prior to and/or shortly after these statements were issued and had the ability to prevent the issuance of the statements or cause the statements to be corrected.
- 55. In particular, Individual Defendants had direct and supervisory involvement in the day-to-day operations of the Company and, therefore, had the power to control or influence the particular transactions giving rise to the securities violations as alleged herein, and exercised the same.
- 56. As set forth above, Semtech and Individual Defendants each violated Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 by their acts and omissions as alleged in this Complaint. By virtue of their position as controlling persons, Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their purchases of the Company's securities during the Class Period.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- (a) Determining that this action is a proper class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- (b) Awarding compensatory damages in favor of Plaintiff and the other Class members against all defendants, jointly and severally, for all damages sustained

Document 1

Filed 02/20/25

Page 19 of 21 Page ID

Case 2:25-cv-01474-MCS-JC

SWORN CERTIFICATION OF PLAINTIFF

SEMTECH CORPORATION SECURITIES LITIGATION

- I, Colleen Kleovoulos, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed the Complaint, adopt its allegations, and authorize the filing of a Lead Plaintiff motion on my behalf.
- 2. I did not purchase the Semtech Corporation securities that are the subject of this action at the direction of plaintiff's counsel or in order to participate in any private action arising under this title.
- 3. I am willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of a class and will testify at deposition and trial, if necessary.
- 4. My transactions in Semtech Corporation securities during the Class Period set forth in the Complaint are as follows:

(See attached transactions)

- 5. I have not sought to serve, nor served, as a representative party on behalf of a class under this title during the last three years, except for the following:
- 6. I will not accept any payment for serving as a representative party, except to receive my pro rata share of any recovery or as ordered or approved by the court, including the award to a representative plaintiff of reasonable costs and expenses (including lost wages) directly relating to the representation of the class.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing are true and correct statements.

2/20/2025	Close .
Date	Colleen Kleovoulos

Colleen Kleovoulos's Transactions in Semtech Corporation (SMTC)

Date	Transaction Type	Quantity	Unit Price
1/31/2025	Bought	153	\$66.3300